PAULA T. DOW Attorney General of New Jersey R. J. Hughes Justice Complex P.O. Box 112 Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0112

Attorney for the Governor of the State of New Jersey, the Attorney General of the State of New Jersey, and the Superintendent of the New Jersey Division of State Police, Defendants

:

Gregory A. Spellmeyer Deputy Attorney General (609) 984-9504 Gregory.Spellmeyer@dol.lps.state.nj.us

ASSOCIATION OF NEW JERSEY RIFLE AND: UNITED STATES DISTRICT PISTOL CLUBS, INC., a New Jersey Not: COURT FOR THE DISTRICT for Profit Corporation; SCOTT L. BACH; : JOHNSON; VINCENT FURIO; : Α. STEVEN YAGIELLO and BOB'S LITTLE SPORT : SHOP, INC., a New Jersey Corporation, :

OF NEW JERSEY

VICINAGE OF TRENTON

Plaintiffs,

Honorable Joel A. Pisano, U.S.D.J.

v.

CHRISTOPHER J. CHRISTIE, Governor of: the State of New Jersey; PAULA T. DOW, : CIVIL ACTION No. 10-cv-Attorney General of the State of New: COLONEL RICK FUENTES, : Jersev; Superintendent, Division of New Jersey: DECLARATION OF GREGORY A. State Police; WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP: SPELLMEYER (Morris County); CITY OF HACKENSACK; : LITTLE EGG HARBOR TOWNSHIP and XYZ MUNICIPALITIES 1-563;

271-JAP-TJB

Defendants.

I, Gregory A. Spellmeyer, declare as follows:

- 1. I am a Deputy Attorney General employed by the Division of Law within the New Jersey Department of Law and Public Safety.
- 2. I am assigned to represent defendants the Governor of the State of New Jersey, the Attorney General of the State of New

- Jersey, and the Superintendent of the New Jersey Division of State Police in the above-captioned matter.
- 3. I make this declaration in support of these defendants' opposition to plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment and in particular in support of these defendants' response to plaintiffs' statement of undisputed material facts.
- 4. In counts three and four, plaintiffs allege that, prior to April 6, 2010, there was no procedure in place by which an individual could apply for one or more of the exemptions to New Jersey's One Handgun Per Month Law so that the Superintendent could grant any of the exemptions and he/she could purchase multiple handguns within a thirty (30) day period. See Docket Entry #59, Second Amended Complaint ¶ 45.

 See also Docket Entry #59, Second Amended Complaint ¶¶
 39,41,43,70,71,74.
- 5. Plaintiffs allege that, as to those plaintiffs who would qualify for one or more exemptions and therefore be entitled under the One Handgun Per Month Law to purchase multiple handguns within a thirty (30) day period, the lack of a procedure deprived them of liberty and/or property without due process of law in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment. See Docket Entry #59, Second Amended Complaint ¶¶ 46,47.

Case 3:10-cv-00271-JAP-TJB Document 80-2 Filed 04/06/11 Page 3 of 3 PageID: 943

6. Plaintiffs' allegation presents an issue of law subject to

judicial disposition on its pleading as to whether it states

a claim upon which relief can be granted.

7. For purposes of these defendants' motion to dismiss

plaintiffs' second amended complaint pursuant to Fed. R. Civ.

P. 12(b)(6) only, defendants must accept the allegations

within plaintiffs' complaint as true.

8. For purposes of plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment, these

defendants do not accept the allegations within plaintiffs'

complaint or their supporting brief as true.

9. Further as to plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment, these

defendants dispute certain of plaintiffs' statement of

material facts pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(d) because facts

are unavailable to these defendants at this time. No discovery

has yet been undertaken.

PAULA T. DOW

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY

Dated: April 5, 2011

BY: /s/ Gregory A. Spellmeyer

Gregory A. Spellmeyer

Deputy Attorney General

-3-